


CIRCULATION COPY
SUBJECT TO RECALL
IN TWO WEEKS

UCID- 18935

Analysis of Core "Soil" and "Water" Samples from
the Cactus Crater Disposal Site at Enewetak Atoll

W. L. Robison and V. E. Noshkin

February 18, 1981



Lawrence
Livermore
Laboratory

This is an informal report intended primarily for internal or limited external distribution. The opinions and conclusions stated are those of the author and may or may not be those of the Laboratory.

Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This report has been reproduced
directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401

Available to the public from the
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Rd.,
Springfield, VA 22161

ANALYSIS OF CORE "SOIL" AND "WATER" SAMPLES FROM THE CACTUS CRATER DISPOSAL
SITE AT ENEWETAK ATOLL.

Introduction

Core "soil" samples and water samples were collected from the Cactus Crater Disposal site at Enewetak for the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) review team. The samples were sent to Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) for analysis of ^{137}Cs , ^{90}Sr , $^{239+240}\text{Pu}$ and ^{241}Am .

We have analyzed the samples by both gamma spectroscopy and, through a contractor laboratory,* by wet chemistry procedures. The samples processing methods, the analytical methods and the analytical quality control are all procedures we have developed for our continuing Marshall Island radioecology and dose assessment work.

* LFE Environmental Analysis Laboratory - Richmond, Ca.

Sample Processing and Analytical Methods

The core "soil" samples collected as part of the NAS review project from the Enewetak Cactus Crater Disposal Site, were ball-milled into a fine powder, packed in aluminum cans (231.38 cm^3) and analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides using high resolution, solid state germanium diodes at LLNL. This is the standard procedure we have developed for coral soils for our Marshall Island program.

Blind duplicates for three selected samples were included for analysis. The duplicates are identified in Tables 1 and 4 where the concentrations in pCi/g dry weight is listed for ^{137}Cs , ^{60}Co , ^{152}Eu , ^{155}Eu and ^{241}Am for each sample.

After the core samples were analyzed by gamma spectroscopy they were sent to our contractor laboratory for wet chemistry analysis for ^{90}Sr , $^{239+240}\text{Pu}$ and ^{241}Am . Again blind duplicates were included. The results are listed in Table 2. Wet chemistry analysis for ^{137}Cs was performed for a few selected samples. Comparison of the analytical results for specific samples between gamma spectroscopy and wet chemistry are listed in Table 5.

The water samples were filtered through a $0.45 \mu\text{m}$ filter and the soluble and collected particulate fractions were both analyzed. The gamma emitting radionuclides were quantified using the high resolution, solid state germanium diode systems at LLNL. The ^{238}Pu , and $^{239+240}\text{Pu}$ and ^{241}Am concentrations were determined by wet chemistry procedures at both LLNL and the contractor laboratory. The data for the water and particulate fractions are listed in Table 3.

Results

The results from the duplicate analysis listed in Table 1, 2 and 4 indicate very reproducible results for the collected samples. Comparison of the gamma spectroscopy and wet chemistry analytical methods listed in Table 5 show excellent agreement on ^{137}Cs . The results for ^{241}Am indicate that gamma spectroscopy results are consistently a bit lower than the wet chemistry results.

The general conclusion for all of the data is certainly that the concentrations of all radionuclides in the core "soil" and "water" samples are quite low, especially relative to soil and sediment concentrations at the atoll.

Table 1. Concentration in pCi/g dry weight of Gamma Emitting Radionuclides in Samples from the Cactus Crater Disposal Site at Enewetak Atoll.

Sample No.		^{137}Cs			^{60}Co		^{152}Eu		^{155}Eu		^{241}Am	
SPR24E028	CD-1	7.8-9.3	1.4	(2.3)*	0.95	(2.8)*	1.2	(2.5)*	0.33	(7.7)*	1.4	(9.1)*
SPR24E029	CD-1	17.0-22.0	5.6	(1.3)	0.11	(10)	0.24	(10)	<0.037	(-)	0.24	(17)
SPR24E030	CD-1	24.0-25.5	18	(0.9)	1.2	(2.3)	0.63	(5.1)	1.0	(8.1)	4.0	(8.4)
SPR24E031	CD-1	27.0-0-31.6	27	(1.4)	0.95	(11)	0.63	(24)	1.4	(15)	6.3	(16)
SPR24E032	CD-1	42.0-43.5	2.1	(1.7)	0.90	(2.2)	0.32	(6.1)	1.1	(3.8)	1.2	(9.6)
SPR24E033	CD-1	1.6-5.8	3.1	(1.7)	2.5	(1.4)	1.2	(2.5)	1.5	(3.2)	5.2	(6.6)
SPR24E034	CD-12	4.6-6.1	8.3	(1.1)	4.0	(1.1)	2.6	(1.8)	2.3	(2.7)	4.3	(5.9)
SPR24E035	CD-12	10.0-11.5	9.4	(1.1)	8.1	(0.8)	0.41	(9.2)	2.1	(5.2)	4.7	(16)
SPR24E036	CD-12	20.0-21.5	0.81	(7.4)	0.63	(7.4)	0.25	(26)	0.50	(20)	<0.20	(-)
SPR24E037	CD-12	25.0-26.5	1.4	(6.2)	0.81	(9)	0.50	(16)	0.77	(11)	0.45	(43)
SPR24E038	CD-12	30.0-31.5	0.90	(8.6)	0.63	(8.6)	<0.14	(-)	0.95	(15)	<0.23	(-)
SPR24E039	CD-12	35.0-36.5	<0.10*	(-)	<0.11	(-)	<0.17	(-)	<0.25	(-)	<0.19	(-)
SPR24E040	CD-12	40.0-41.5	0.24	(17)	0.17	(32)	<0.081	(-)	<0.095	(-)	<0.11	(-)
SPR24E041	CD-12	45.0-46.5	<0.026	(-)	0.10	(49)	<0.059	(-)	<0.063	(-)	<0.081	(-)
SPR24E042	CD-17	5.0-6.5	7.2	(1.1)	2.2	(1.6)	1.5	(2.3)	1.4	(3.3)	5.6	(5.3)
SPR24E043	CD-17	10.0-11.5	19	(1.4)	1.04	(7.4)	<0.17	(-)	0.86	(19)	3.8	(16)
SPR24E044	CD-17	25.0-26.5	16	(0.9)	0.95	(2.5)	<0.040	(-)	0.68	(7.5)	4.1	(6.3)
SPR24E045	CD-17	30.0-31.5	8.8	(1.6)	1.7	(5.7)	1.2	(6)	0.77	(15)	1.9	(11)
SPR24E046	CD-17	35.0-36.5	3.0	(3.7)	0.90	(5.9)	0.59	(13)	0.77	(13)	0.99	(22)
SPR24E047	CD-17	50.0-51.5	0.068	(25)	0.045	(29)	<0.032	(-)	<0.041	(-)	<0.043	(-)
SPR24E100	(Dup. E033)		3.1	(1.6)	2.5	(1.8)	1.2	(2.6)	1.5	(27)	5.4	(4.5)
SPR24E101	(Dup. E030)		18	(0.9)	1.2	(2.0)	0.63	(4.7)	0.86	(6.3)	4.1	(7.3)
SPR24E102	(Dup. E032)		1.9	(1.5)	0.86	(2.0)	0.30	(6.3)	1.08	(4.1)	1.04	(8.8)

* Values in parentheses are the one sigma counting errors in percent.

+ Less than number (i.e. <) indicate the radionuclide was non-detectable at the listed decision limit.

Table 2. Radionuclide Concentration in pCi/g Determined by Wet-Chemistry Analysis for Cactus Crater Disposal Site Core "Soil" Samples.

Sample No.			^{137}Cs		^{90}Sr		$^{239+240}\text{Pu}$		^{241}Am	
SPR24E028	CD-1	7.8-9.3			6	(10)*	10	(2.2)*		
SPR24E029	CD-1	17.0-22.0			5.5	(1.8)	1.6	(2.1)		
SPR24E030	CD-1	24.0-25.5	18	(3.1)*	36	(1.5)	12	(2.1)	6.4	(2.1)
SPR24E031	CD-1	27.0-31.6	31	(1.7)	52	(3.0)	21	(2.9)	11	(2.2)
SPR24E032	CD-1	42.0-43.5			13	(3.2)	11	(2.2)	1.7	(2.0)
SPR24E033	CD-1	1.6-5.8	3.1	(2.6)	16	(3.7)	38	(2.3)	8.1	(1.8)
SPR24E034	CD-12	4.6-6.1			14	(5.0)	33	(2.5)		
SPR24E035	CD-12	10.0-11.5			29	(5.0)	37	(2.3)		
SPR24E036	CD-12	20.0-21.5			5.8	(2.9)	5.2	(2.4)	0.8	(5.5)
SPR24E037	CD-12	25.0-26.5			19	(5.0)	6.3	(2.3)		
SPR24E038	CD-12	30.0-31.5			10	(3.2)	7.5	(3.0)	1.2	(1.9)
SPR24E039	CD-12	35.0-36.5			0.63	(6.0)	0.13	(4.6)	0.069	(3.9)
SPR24E040	CD-12	40.0-41.5			1.2	(4.6)	1.5	(2.3)	0.22	(2.6)
SPR24E041	CD-12	45.0-46.5			0.41	(9.9)	0.21	(4.3)	0.016	(7.2)
SPR24E042	CD-17	5.0-6.5			12	(10)	46	(2.9)		
SPR24E043	CD-17	10.0-11.5			35	(5.0)	20	(3.1)		
SPR24E044	CD-17	25.0-26.5			33	(5.0)	15	(3.0)		
SPR24E045	CD-17	30.0-31.5			26	(5.0)	11	(3.9)		
SPR24E046	CD-17	35.0-36.5			10	(5.0)	16	(3.0)		
SPR24E047	CD-17	50.0-51.5			0.44	(8.7)	0.22	(4.8)	0.047	(2.3)
SPR24E100	(Dup. E033)		3.0	(3.0)	14	(5.2)	36	(3.0)	8.1	(1.9)
SPR24E101	(Dup. E030)		17	(3.2)	35	(5.5)	11	(3.4)	6.3	(1.2)
SPR24E102	(Dup. E032)				13	(6.6)	12	(2.9)	1.9	(2.1)

* Values in parentheses are the one Sigma counting errors in percent.

Table 3. Cactus Crypt Water Samples Filtrate and Particles.

I.D.		pCi/l (%σ) ^j							
		239+240Pu	238Pu	137Cs	60Co	125Sb	155Eu	241Am	90Sr
CD-1	25.0-27.0 ^a	.056 (4)	.006 (17)	269 (3)	d	d	d	.007 (40)	302 (5)
e CD-1	25.0-27.0 ^b	42.6 (2)	4.2 (4)	77 (1)	23.5 (1)	2.4 (9)	5.7 (5)	43.7 (8)	80.9 (3)
CD-1	27.0-32.0 ^a	.041 (5)	.003 (25)	227 (3)	d	d	d	<.003	359 (5)
f CD-1	27.0-32.0 ^b	113 (1)	5.4 (6)	215 (2)	19.8 (2)	2.3 (33)	12.7 (4)	90.3 (5)	229 (10)
NRU-1	20' below surf ^a	.109 (3)	.024 (6)	28 (3)	d	d	d	.003	142 (5)
g NRU-1	20' below surf ^b	271 (1)	75.4 (7)	153 (1)	60 (1)	3.5 (19)	29.8 (3)	107 (6)	203 (10)
NRU-1	40' below surf ^a	.083 (3)	.020 (6)	25 (3)	d	d	d	.008	176 (5)
h NRU-1	40' below surf ^b	746 (2)	190 (7)	325 (1)	153 (2)	8.2 (20)	69 (7)	213 (12)	555 (10)
NRU-2	20' below surf ^a	.173 (2)	.044 (4)	26 (8)	d	d	d	<.002	309 (5)
i NRU-2	20' below surf ^b	58 (2)	14.3 (3)	42 (2)	36 (2)	4.7 (10)	11.7 (5)	12.3 (15)	109 (10)

^a soluble fraction (<0.45 micron)

^b particulate fraction (>0.45 micron)

^d no analysis

^e also - ²⁰⁷Bi-0.7 pCi/l; ¹⁵²Eu-3.3 pCi/l; ^{102m}Rh-0.9 pCi/l

^f also - ²⁰⁷Bi-1.9 pCi/l; ¹⁵²Eu-10.3 pCi/l; ^{102m}Rh-1.1 pCi/l

^g also - ¹⁵²Eu-13.7 pCi/l; ^{102m}Rh-28.3 pCi/l

^h also - ¹⁵²Eu-35.7 pCi/l; ¹⁵⁴Eu-3.0 pCi/l; ^{102m}Rh-67 pCi/l

ⁱ also - ¹⁵²Eu-24 pCi/l; ^{102m}Rh-3.1 pCi/l

^j % σ - percent standard deviation of the counting error.

Table 4. Comparison in pCi/g dry weight, of duplicate analyses results for selected samples.

Sample No.	^{137}Cs	^{60}Co	^{152}Eu	^{155}Eu	^{241}Am
<u>Gamma Spec.</u>					
SPR24E030	18 (0.9)	1.2 (2.3)	0.63 (5.1)	1.0 (8.1)	4.0 (8.4)
SPR24E101 (Dup. E030)	18 (0.9)	1.2 (2.0)	0.63 (4.7)	0.86 (6.3)	4.1 (7.3)
<u>Wet Chem.</u>					
SPR24E030	18 (3.1)	-*	-	-	6.4 (2.1)
SPR24E101 (Dup. E030)	17 (3.2)	-	-	-	6.3 (1.2)
<u>Gamma Spec.</u>					
SPR24E032	2.1 (1.7)	0.90 (2.2)	0.32 (6.1)	1.1 (3.8)	1.2 (9.6)
SPR24E102 (Dup. E032)	1.9 (1.5)	0.86 (2.0)	0.30 (6.3)	1.1 (4.1)	1.0 (8.8)
<u>Wet Chem.</u>					
SPR24E032	-	-	-	-	1.7 (2.0)
SPR24E102 (Dup. E032)	-	-	-	-	1.9 (2.1)
<u>Gamma Spec.</u>					
SPR24E033	3.1 (1.7)	2.5 (1.4)	1.2 (2.5)	1.5 (3.2)	5.2 (6.6)
SPR24E100 (Dup. E033)	3.1 (1.6)	2.5 (1.8)	1.2 (2.6)	1.5 (2.7)	5.4 (4.5)
<u>Wet Chem.</u>					
SPR24E033	3.1 (2.6)	-	-	-	8.1 (1.8)
SPR24E100 (Dup. E033)	3.0 (3.0)	-	-	-	8.1 (1.9)

* (-) indicates that wet chemistry analysis was not made

+ values in parenthesis are the one sigma counting errors

Table 5. Comparison, in pCi/g dry weight, of gamma spectroscopy and wet chemistry analytical results for ^{137}Cs and ^{241}Am .

Sample I.D.		^{137}Cs				^{241}Am			
		Gamma		Wet Gamma		Wet		Chem.	
		Spec.		Chem.		Spec.			
SPR24E030	CD-1	18	(0.9)+	18	(3.1)+	4.0	(8.4)+	6.4	(2.1)+
SPR24E031	CD-1	27	(1.4)	31	(1.7)	6.3	(16)	11	(2.2)
SPR34E033	CD-1	3.1	(1.7)	3.1	(2.6)	5.2	(6.6)	8.1	(1.8)
SPR34E032	CD-1					1.2	(9.6)	1.7	(2.0)
SPR24E036	CD-12					0.45	(43)	0.8	(5.5)
SPR24E038	CD-12					<0.23*	(-)	1.2	(1.9)
SPR24E039	CD-12					<0.19	(-)	0.069	(3.9)
SPR24E040	CD-12					<0.11	(-)	0.22	(2.6)
SPR24E041	CD-12					<0.081	(-)	0.016	(7.2)
SPR24E047	CD-17					<0.043	(-)	0.047	(2.3)

*< numbers indicate no positive detection and the detection limit of the analysis

+ Values in parenthesis are the one sigma counting errors

